ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

1. re. the Cochin Tenancy Regulation.

Mr. President.—I have received notice of an adjournment motion from Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *"to discuss the unsatisfactory answer of the Government this morning regarding Tenancy Regulation."*

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *(Cranganur).*—With your permission, Sir, I will explain it.

The difficulty which I feel about this is I am not quite clear of the procedure of remitting the Bill to the Council. So far as I remember there is one provision and that is sending back the Bill. If that is what the Government means one full session will be required for its passing in the Council. Then it will take some time to get the Sign Manual. It means in all another five years.

Mr. President.—According to the rules what will happen is this. Suppose Government says that for clauses 5, 8 and 10 amendments should be made. Then it is only those amendments that will be taken up for consideration. As soon as those amendments are passed by the Council, it is automatically submitted to His Highness for Sign Manual. I think the whole thing can be done in one sitting.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim (*Cranganur*):—Then why not you do it on the last day of this Session?

Mr. President.—I think in all probability it will be introduced in the next session. It is to enable the Members to expedite the business that this is done.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *(Cranganur).*—The consensus of opinion among the non-officials seems to be that whatever provisions which Government do not consider to be amended may be enforced.

Mr. President.—That cannot be. There is no provision by which the Royal Sign Manual can be given to certain clauses of the Bill.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *(Cranganur).*—On that assurance of the Diwan President I do not think there is any urgency to move it.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly